

**REMARKS**

**I. Status**

The Office Action indicates claims 1-20 to be pending in this Application. With this response claims 1, 10, 14, and 15 are amended. No new matter has been added.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baran (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0200548) in view of Wasilewski (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0259813) and “Open Mobile Alliance, Digital Rights Management Version 1.0, September 2002.”

Claims 1, 10, 14, and 15 are independent.

**II. Amendment of the Independent Claims**

The Office Action seems to suggest amendment to the independent claims, the Office Action stating that:

“... unless the claimed language make clear the phrase content display device is referring to the end user equipment such as the set top box, the rejection is maintained”  
(see Office Action p. 3 - p. 4).

With this response Applicants, taking into account the Office Action’s suggestion, amend independent claims 1, 10, 14, and 15. No new matter has been added.

With reference to that which was discussed in response to the last Office Action, Applicants respectfully submit that the cited references, taken individually or in combination, fail, for example, to disclose, teach, or suggest:

“... sending the encrypted first key from a content display device to a mobile terminal ...

sending the decrypted first key from the mobile terminal to the content display device,

wherein the content display device is at least in part of an end user device”

as set forth in claim 1 as amended herewith (emphasis added).

As another example, with reference to that which was discussed in response to the last Office Action Applicants respectfully submit that the cited references, taken individually or in combination, fail to disclose, teach, or suggest:

“... sending the encrypted first key from the content display device to the mobile terminal over a two way transmission link ...

sending the decrypted first key from the mobile terminal to the content display device ...

wherein the content display device is at least in part of an end user device”

as set forth in claim 10 as amended herewith (emphasis added).

As an additional example, with reference to that which was discussed in response to the last Office Action Applicants respectfully submit that the cited references, taken individually or in combination, fail to disclose, teach, or suggest:

“... wherein the content receiving device is further programmed to send the encrypted first key to the mobile terminal ...

wherein the mobile terminal is programmed to ... send the decrypted first key to the content receiving device ...

wherein the content receiving device is at least in part of an end user device”

as set forth in each of claims 14 and 15 as amended herewith (emphasis added).

In view of at least the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1, 10, 14, and 15 at least as amended herewith, as well as those claims that depend therefrom, are in condition for allowance.

**III. Dependent Claims**

Applicants do not believe it is necessary at this time to further address the rejections of the dependent claims as Applicants believe that the foregoing places the independent claims in condition for allowance. Applicants, however, reserve the right to further address those rejections in the future should such a response be deemed necessary and appropriate.

*(Continued on next page)*

**CONCLUSION**

Applicants respectfully submit that this Application is in condition for allowance for which action is earnestly solicited.

If a telephone conference would facilitate prosecution of this Application in any way, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the number provided.

**AUTHORIZATION**

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required for this response, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-4500, Order No. 4208-4147.

Furthermore, in the event that an extension of time is required, the Commissioner is requested to grant a petition for that extension of time which is required to make this response timely and is hereby authorized to charge any fee for such an extension of time or credit any overpayment for an extension of time to the above-noted Deposit Account and Order No.

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.

Dated: March 4, 2008

**Mailing Address:**

MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.  
3 World Financial Center  
New York, New York 10281-2101  
(212) 415-8700  
(212) 415-8701 (Fax)

By:



Angus R. Gill  
Registration No. 51,133